

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/647,432	YOKOZEKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Mark Connolly	2115	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Mark Connolly.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Sam Huang Reg. No. 48,430.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 3 March 2006

**Time:** 10:00 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3-7 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*During the telephone interview, claim language was discussed to clarify the above mentioned claims to ensure proper antecedent basis for all claim elements*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 3/3/06  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)